

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,299 07/22/2003		07/22/2003	John Bryan Harvey	HOLO 1144 PUS	7881	
41131	7590	12/28/2004		EXAMINER		
		DARNELL	TSIDULKO, MARK			
	VILLE, KS	TH STREET 67337	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2875			
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/625,29	10/625,299 HARVEY, JOHN BRYAN		BRYAN			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mark Tsid	ulko	2875	ign			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress			
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eve in. a reply within the statu eriod will apply and wil statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be ti ntory minimum of thirty (30) da Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ication to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely n the mailing date of this cou	mmunication.			
Status					•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on a	amendment filed	1 on 12/06/04.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	,						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) <u>20 and 39-45</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8,10,11,18,19,21-27,30-38,46</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>9,12-17,28 and 29</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers	·						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exarthe drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th	: a)⊠ accepted the drawing(s) b prection is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		-					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/625,299

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

The submission of amendment filed on 12/06/04 is acknowledged. At this point new claims 21-46 have been added and the remaining claims left unchanged. Thus, claims 1-46 are at issue in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 10, 21, 26, 30, 34, 37, 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Molnar (US 4,799,136).

Referring to Claims 1, 10 Molnar discloses (Figs. 1, 2) a luminaire having a lamp housing [12], a lamp [16] and a reflector means [14a,b] mounted within housing for directing a light an a asymmetric distribution (Abstract).

Referring to Claims 2, 19, 21, 38 Molnar discloses (Fig.2) a refractor (col.2, lines 63-68).

Referring to Claim 4 Molnar discloses (Fig.2) a main reflector having a curvilinear reflective surface and a secondary reflector carried by the housing and mounted behind the light source.

Referring to Claims 7, 26, 34Molnar discloses (Fig.2) side reflectors [15a,b] (col. 2, lines 54-56).

Application/Control Number: 10/625,299

Art Unit: 2875

Referring to Claims 21, 30 Molnar discloses (Figs. 1, 2) a luminaire having a lamp housing [12], a lamp [16] and a reflector means mounted within housing for directing a light an a asymmetric distribution (Abstract) including a main reflector [14b] having a curviliniear reflective surface and a secondary reflector [14a] mounted behind the lamp.

Referring to Claim 37 the light directed onto the surface of the panel will be inherently symmetric in a plane substantially normal to the surface [20] (e.g. in a direction parallel the length of the device).

Referring to Claim 46 Molnar discloses (Figs. 1, 2) a luminaire having a lamp housing [12], a lamp [16] being disposed equidistantly from side edges of the illuminaire and a reflector means mounted within housing for directing a light an a asymmetric distribution (Abstract) including a main reflector [14b] having a curviliniear reflective surface and a secondary reflector [14a] mounted behind the lamp.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 11, 18, 23, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molnar (US 4,799,136) in view of Henderson et al. (US 4,173,037).

Referring to Claims 3, 11, 23, 31 Molnar discloses the instant claimed invention except for vertical orientation of the lamp in the housing.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,299

Art Unit: 2875

Henderson et al. disclose a luminaire provided asymmetric light distribution (col.1, lines54, 55) and having vertically positioned lamp. This allows to use side reflectors more effectively, because of receiving more light from the source and without of using their surfaces to locate the sockets of the lamp arranged along the main reflector.

Referring to Claim 18 Molnar discloses a lamp disposed in the housing equidistantly from side edges.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the luminaire of Molnar having a vertically positioned lamp, as shown by Henderson et al. in order to increase total reflectivity of the device.

Claims 5, 6, 8, 24, 25, 27, 32, 33, 35, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molnar (US 4,799,136) in view of Barthel (US 4,027,151).

Referring to Claims 5, 6, 24, 25, 32, 33 Molnar discloses the instant claimed invention except for that the main reflector is parabolic and the secondary reflector is elliptical in a contour.

Barthel discloses luminaire used a combination of parabolic and elliptical reflectors in order to obtain an even light distribution of a planar surface (col.1, lined 37-40).

Referring to Claims 8, 27, 35 since Molnar discloses side reflectors, but does not disclose the finish of the reflective surface, it will of course be understood that a high reflectance finish will increase reflective characteristics of the device and improve an illumination.

Referring to Claim 36 it is understood that any desired finish (high or low) may be used for reflective surface depending on necessity.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the secondary reflector having an elliptical surface, as taught by Barthel for the device of Molnar, in order to obtain an even light distribution of a planar surface.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9, 12-17, 28, 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Referring to Claims 9, 28 the prior art fails to show a luminaire whrein the lamp is disposed in proximity to the reflective side surface.

Referring to Claims 12, 29 the prior art fails to show a luminaire wherein a vertically oriented lamp is more closely spaced relative to one side of the housing and the light being directed onto the surface of the panel in an asymmetrical distribution.

Claims 13-17 are objected as claims depended on claim 12.

Claims 20, 39-45 are allowed.

Referring to Claims 20 and 39 the prior art fails to show a luminaire wherein a vertically oriented lamp is more closely spaced relative to one side of the housing and the light being directed onto the surface of the panel in an asymmetrical distribution.

Claims 40-45 are allowed as claims depended on claim 39.

Art Unit: 2875

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-46 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Tsidulko whose telephone number is (571)272-2384. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for all communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M.T.

December 22, 2004

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800